# SUPPLEMENTAL McNAMARA DECLARATION EXHIBIT 4

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 2 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	
5	HACHETTE BOOK GROUP, INC.,
	HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS LLC,
6	JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., and
	PENGUIN RANDOM HOUSE LLC,
7	
	Plaintiffs,
8	
	vs. No. 1:20-cv-04160-JGK
9	
	INTERNET ARCHIVE and DOES 1
10	through 5, inclusive,
11	Defendants.
	/
12	
13	
14	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(B)(1) AND 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS OF
17	JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., BY ALAN PAVESE
18	Remote Zoom Proceedings
19 20	East Durham, New York
21	Friday, December 10, 2021
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR 3462
25	Pages 1 - 278 Job No. 4867787
- 7	
	Page 1
l	

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 3 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	changes in revenue you may have observed with titles?	
2	MS. STEINMAN: Objection.	
3	THE WITNESS: I'm sure well, I don't think	
4	we've printed the cover upside down. I don't think any	
5	of those authors have been accused of plagiarism. Trying	12:06:11
6	to go backwards. You know, there's definitely been COVID	
7	impacts. There's definitely been piracy impacts. What	
8	else was on the list of did I does that cover any	
9	of them? Anything that I cited that I didn't just	
10	answer?	12:06:37
11	Q. BY MS. LANIER: So let's let's go back a	
12	little bit, and I'll try to walk this through a little	
13	more step by step, so it's doesn't put the onus	
14	entirely on you.	
15	A. Yeah.	12:06:49
16	Q. That's probably fair; right?	
17	So has Wiley observed any unexpected changes in	
18	revenue, either higher than expected or lower than	
19	expected, for titles that are involved in this lawsuit?	
20	MS. STEINMAN: Objection.	12:07:06
21	Go ahead, Alan.	
22	THE WITNESS: So we have experienced loss of	
23	revenue due to piracy. Titles in this lawsuit have been	
24	pirated, so, yes, there is definitely impact, you know,	
25	on the titles-in-suit.	12:07:24
		Page 51

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 4 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q. BY MS. LANIER: Okay. So when you say "due to	
2	piracy," what data do you have that shows that depressed	
3	revenue is related to piracy?	
4	MS. STEINMAN: Objection.	
5	THE WITNESS: Go back to the answer millennia of	12:07:40
6	just everyday experience that when commercial products	
7	are stolen, they cannot be the commercial market does	
8	not function.	
9	Q. BY MS. LANIER: Okay. Anything else?	
10	A. So as a general concept, so we also have lots of	12:07:57
11	industry data showing that there is hundreds of millions	
12	of dollars of impact to the publishing industry from	
13	pirated content and illicit markets that have, you know,	
14	damaged publishers, authors, legitimately functioning	
15	aggregators.	12:08:20
16	Q. Okay. When you say "industry data," are you	
17	referring to any specific studies or analyses?	
18	A. Some, yeah. I think there's some common I'll	
19	call them third-party entities like Nielsen, who have	
20	done estimates in, you know, recent years on the impact	12:08:42
21	of piracy, a number of them. Folks like Perlego, who has	
22	been in the industry and also sort of looked at roughly a	
23	\$300 million impact several years ago. You know, I would	
24	consider those industry estimates of piracy.	
25	Q. Okay. So Nielsen, Perlego. Anything else?	12:09:03
		Page 52

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 5 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	A. Those are two common ones that, you know but	
2	no.	
3	Q. Okay. And when revenue either exceeds or is	
4	below expectations, how closes is Wiley able to get in	
5	pinpointing the source of that change?	12:09:31
6	MS. STEINMAN: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: With precision?	
8	Q. BY MS. LANIER: Yes.	
9	A. It's a bit difficult, so it's a complex	
10	estimation. As you might imagine, people who steal	12:09:46
11	things don't always report how much they've stolen and	
12	how often they've stolen it, so it's always difficult to	
13	try and get data on, you know, illegally functioning	
14	markets and distribution networks, so we do perform and	
15	rely on estimates like the one Nielsen did to try and	12:10:10
16	find out information that people don't want found out.	
17	Q. Okay. And a couple of answers ago you used the	
18	phrase, or term I should say, "legitimately functioning	
19	aggregators." Can you tell me a little bit what you mean	
20	by that?	12:10:33
21	A. Yeah. So aggregators that are licensed and	
22	authorized to distribute our content within the terms and	
23	conditions and pricing that we have agreed to have them	
24	distributed, as opposed to pirate sites, and I would	
25	argue the Internet Archive, who is operating unauthorized	12:10:52
		Page 53

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 6 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	illegal aggregation of our content outside of the	
2	author's and the publisher's functioning commercial	
3	markets and intended distribution.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	A. I would consider that illegitimate.	12:11:08
6	Q. Okay. Could you explain a bit and forgive me	
7	if I'm again needing a vocabulary lesson. Your phrase	
8	"the Internet Archive, who is operating unauthorized	
9	illegal aggregation," can you explain a little bit what	
10	you mean by that?	12:11:38
11	MS. STEINMAN: I think he said an unauthorized.	
12	MS. LANIER: I thought I said unauthorized, but	
13	if I didn't	
14	THE WITNESS: Unauthorized is yeah. Yeah,	
15	unauthorized.	12:11:47
16	So basically, there is no legal duplication of	
17	our digital content permissible, and the Internet Archive	
18	is creating illegal digital copies that are not authored	
19	for use, not authorized to be allowed for use by the	
20	people they're copying from them from. So there is,	12:12:12
21	you know, a complete copyright infringement that is in	
22	use by Internet Archive to aggregate air quotes,	
23	sorry our content illegally, unauthorized and	
24	illicitly.	
25	Q. BY MS. LANIER: And you testified a moment ago	12:12:49
		Page 54

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 7 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	You know, when we get repeated chattering about	
2	a certain thing that, you know, organizationally it	
3	crosses a perceptible threshold of: Hey, we all agree	
4	this is definitely going to kill us. You know, those	
5	are you know, those are the ones that we are, you	16:26:24
6	know, actively engaging, documenting and aligning with	
7	the legal team to strategize on how to address to protect	
8	us and the authors.	
9	Q. In Wiley's view, was that threshold crossed with	
10	the Internet Archive by the National Emergency Library?	16:26:42
11	A. By the National Emergency Library? Yes. Just	
12	yes.	
13	Q. Okay. And you so I just want to close the	
14	loop on this library scanning and loaning issue.	
15	So you mentioned anecdotal evidence, and we	16:27:02
16	talked a little bit about chatter. Anything else?	
17	A. No.	
18	MS. STEINMAN: Objection.	
19	Q. BY MS. LANIER: Was your answer "no"? Forgive	
20	me.	16:27:14
21	A. It was no.	
22	Q. Okay. Is Wiley aware of any studies or analysis	
23	concerning the effect that library lending has on	
24	revenues from books in a retail setting?	
25	A. Yes, on a couple of levels. So, you know, we've	16:27:35
		Page 190

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 8 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	talked about piracy. It's we consider it piracy. We	
2	don't even separate Controlled Digital Lending I'm	
3	using air quotes because it's not a thing that we	
4	recognize. It's stealing. Illegally duplicating digital	
5	works that have no right to be duplicated.	16:27:54
6	So we do lump it in to estimating the impact of	
7	stealing. And those \$300 million estimates that the	
8	industry has come up with, you know, within the last	
9	several years are probably reasonable assumptions of the	
10	very significant impact. We call it 5 percent of the	16:28:16
11	industry in most respects.	
12	So if those were done in 2018, five years ago,	
13	this was probably hurting us by 15 million. It's	
14	probably 20, 25 million in damages now. You know, we're	
15	just taking our approximate share of the industry and	16:28:32
16	assuming that we're, you know, the same amount of piracy.	
17	You know, every one of these units that you've	
18	stolen would have been paid for. So those are all units	
19	that, you know, would have been, you know, properly	
20	crediting authors and publishers and distributors and	16:28:51
21	aggregators with, you know, if they had gone through the	
22	proper legal commercial channels.	
23	So every unit there is you know, we have the	
24	price-per-unit data here and the list price data. We can	
25	apply that to say that, you know, each one of those is	16:29:07
		Page 191

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 9 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	damaging the author, is damaging the publisher, is	
2	damaging the aggregators who are trying to do this the	
3	right way. And so we would add those forms.	
4	And, you know, as we were saying, you know,	
5	every unit of something stolen is something that, you	16:29:23
6	know, the functioning market could have properly	
7	monetized in a way that the law, sort of, affords them	
8	the opportunity to do.	
9	So stealing is one way of estimating it. We	
10	know that a stolen thing is, you know, a sale foregone	16:29:39
11	and that \$300 million worth of estimated stolen things	
12	is, you know, a reasonable estimation of the industry's	
13	impact.	
14	Q. So my question was about libraries, not your	
15	characterization of what the Internet Archive does.	16:29:56
16	A. Okay.	
17	Q. So has Wiley reviewed any studies or analysis	
18	that look into the effect between library lending and	
19	revenues from eBooks in a retail setting?	
20	A. Legal library lending? Or at least what I would	16:30:13
21	call legal library lending? You're saying	
22	Q. Let's start I will say public libraries,	
23	academic libraries, K through 12 libraries, as we've been	
24	discussing them earlier today.	
25	A. But those are the entities, not the actions.	16:30:27
		Page 192

## Case 1:20-cv-04160-JGK-OTW Document 166-4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 10 of 10 ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ss:
2	COUNTY OF MARIN )
3	
4	I, LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462, do
5	hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing deposition testimony was
7	taken before me at the time and place therein set forth
8	and at which time the witness was administered the oath;
9	That testimony of the witness and all objections
10	made by counsel at the time of the examination were
11	recorded stenographically by me, and were thereafter
12	transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that
13	the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
14	record of all proceedings and testimony to the best of my
15	skill and ability.
16	I further certify that I am neither counsel for
17	any party to said action, nor am I related to any party
18	to said action, nor am I in any way interested in the
19	outcome thereof.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
21	this 13th day of December, 2021.
22	
23	
24	
25	LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462
	Page 275